UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SHAWN WOODWARD,

Plaintiff,

٧.

DECISION AND ORDER 14-CV-856-A

MUSLIM CHAPLIN AFIFY, et al.,

Defendants.

This case was referred to Magistrate Judge Michael J. Roemer pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) for the conduct of pretrial proceedings. On September 28, 2018, Magistrate Judge Roemer filed a Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 143) recommending that the motion for summary judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 of defendants Afify, Corsi, Krause, Livermore, Otto, and T. Sewalt's (Dkt. No. 125) be granted in part, but denied as to *pro se* plaintiff's First Amendment claims against defendants Afify and Krause.

On October 15, 2018, defendants filed objections to the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 144). *Pro se* plaintiff also filed objections to the Report and Recommendation on October 23, 2018 (Dkt. No. 145). On November 13, 2018, plaintiff filed a response to Defendants' objections (Dkt. No. 147), and defendants filed their response on November 20, 2018 (Dkt. No. 148). Plaintiff filed a reply on December 20, 2018 (Dkt. No. 151), and the matter was deemed submitted. The Court deems oral argument unnecessary.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1), this Court must make a de novo

determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which

objections have been made. Upon de novo review, and after carefully reviewing the

submissions from the parties, the Court adopts the Magistrate Judge's conclusions for

the reasons stated by the Magistrate Judge. It is, therefore

**ORDERED**, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), and for the reasons set forth in

Magistrate Judge Roemer's Report and Recommendation, defendants' motion to

dismiss (Dkt. No. 125) is granted as to Counselor Livermore and Corrections Officers

Sewalt, Corsi, and Otto, but is denied as to *pro se* plaintiff's First Amendment claims

against defendants Muslim Chaplin Afify and Sergeant Krause.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Ríchard J. Arcara

HONORABLE RICHARD J. ARCARA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Dated: October 22, 2019